1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ANDREW L. PACKARD (Cal. Bar No. 16 MICHAEL P. LYNES (Cal. Bar No. 23046 Law Offices of Andrew L. Packard 319 Pleasant Street Petaluma, CA 94952 Tel: (707) 763-7227 Fax: (707) 763-9227 E-mail: andrew@packardlawoffices.com Attorneys for Plaintiff California Sportfishing Protection Alliance	52)	
9			
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12	CALIFORNIA SPORTFISHING		
13	PROTECTION ALLIANCE, a non-profit corporation;	Case No. C-06 6725 CW	
14	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFF'S CLAIMS WITH PREJUDICE; ORDER GRANTING DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE [FRCP 41(a)(2)]	
15	VS.		
16 17 18	PETALUMA LIVESTOCK AUCTION YARD, INC., a corporation, and MANUEL A. BRAZIL, an individual; LAWRENCE JOHNSON; and GREENKO, INC.		
	Defendants.		
19			
20	WHEREAS, beginning on or about August 1, 2006, CSPA provided notice of alleged violations of the General Permit by Defendants and of CSPA's intention to file		
21			
22	suit against Defendants ("Notice") under the Clean Water Act §505, 33 U.S.C. §1365;		
23	WHEREAS, on October 30, 2006 CSPA filed its Complaint in this action, entitled		
24	California Sportfishing Protection Alliance v. Petaluma Livestock Auction Yard, et al., Case No.		
25	C-06 6725 CW;		
26	WHEREAS, CSPA and Defendants, through their authorized representatives and without		
27	either adjudication of CSPA's claims or admission by Defendants of any alleged violation or		
28	STIPULATION TO DISMISS WITH PREJUDICE;	CASE NO. C-06 6725 CW	
	[PROPOSED] ORDER 1		

1	other wrongdoing, have chosen to resolve in full by way of settlement the allegations of CSPA as		
2	set forth in the Notice and Complaint, thereby avoiding the costs and uncertainties of further		
3	litigation;		
4	WHEREAS, a copy of the Consent Judgment entered into by and between CSPA and		
5	Defendants is attached hereto as Exhibit A and incorporated by reference;		
6	WHEREAS, on or about June 4, 2007, the parties submitted the Consent Judgment via		
7	certified mail, return receipt requested, to the U.S. EPA and the U.S. Department of Justice and		
8	each of these agencies has confirmed its receipt of same effective June 11 th ;		
9	NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED and agreed to by and between		
10	the parties that CSPA's claims, as set forth in the Notice and Complaint, be dismissed with		
11	prejudice pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(2) upon the expiration of the 45-day		
12	review period set forth at 40 C.F.R. § 135.5. The parties respectfully request an order from this		
13	Court dismissing such claims with prejudice. In accordance with paragraph 12 of the Consent		
14	Judgment, the parties also request that this Court retain and have jurisdiction over the Parties with		
15	respect to disputes arising under the agreement.		
16			
17	Dated: LAW OFFICES OF ANDREW L. PACKARD		
18			
19	By:		
20	Andrew L. Packard Attorney for Plaintiff		
21	·		
22	Dated: POLLOCK & JAMES		
23			
24	By: Mark Pollock		
25	Attorney for Defendants		
26			
27			
28	STIPULATION TO DISMISS WITH PREJUDICE; CASE NO. C-06 6725 CW		
	[PROPOSED] ORDER 2		

	OR	DER	
1	Good cause appearing, and the parties having stipulated and agreed		
2	Good cause appearing, and the parties having stipulated and agreed,		
3	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff California Sportfishing Protection		
4	Alliance's claims herein are hereby dismissed with prejudice.		
5	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Court shall retain and have jurisdiction		
6	over the Parties with respect to disputes arising under the agreement attached to the parties		
7	Stipulation to Dismiss as Exhibit A.		
8			
9	8/1/07	Chidealeit	
10	Dated:		
11		United States District Court Judge	
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
-	STIPULATION TO DISMISS WITH PREJUDICE;	CASE NO. C-06 6725 CW	

[PROPOSED] ORDER